Case 3:16-cr-00371-VC Document 7 Filed 09/07/16 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 10 - CV - 311
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Pouglas Aviles Defendant.)))
Defendant.	
by the continuance outweigh the best interest of	ord on 9/7, 2016, the Court excludes time under the to 9/20, 2016 and finds that the ends of justice served of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (i).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	mplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of f the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact perpect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv).
	FILL
IT IS SO ORDERED.	SEP 07 2016
DATED: 9-7-1-	SALLIE KIM United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendan	nt Assistant United States Attorney